UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,992	07/11/2005	Victor P. Andreev	NU-597XX	2081
207 7590 08/13/2007 WEINGARTEN, SCHURGIN, GAGNEBIN & LEBOVICI LLP TEN POST OFFICE SQUARE			EXAMINER	
			BHAT, ADITYA S	
BOSTON, MA	. 02109		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2863	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/510 000	ANDREWETAL	
Notice of Abandonment	10/510,992 Examiner	ANDREEV ET AL. Art Unit	
	Aditya S. Bhat	2863	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
nis application is abandoned in view of:			
☐ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the  (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate period for reply (including a total extension of times)	e of Mailing or Transmission date e of month(s)) which exp	red on	
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it of	does not constitute a proper reply	under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejecti	on.
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rej application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with	y filed Notice of Appeal (with app		
(c) A reply was received on but it does not confinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111.			
(d) No reply has been received.			
Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fe from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PT		le, within the statutory period of three mont	hs
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable), which is after the expiration of the statute Allowance (PTOL-85).	, was received on (with a		
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A ba	lance of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if require	ed by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
(c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, h	as not been received.		
<ul> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ul>	s required by, and within the three	e-month period set in, the Notice of	
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailin	g or Transmission dated), which is	
(b) \( \sum \) No corrected drawings have been received.			
☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed the applicants.	by the attorney or agent of record	I, the assignee of the entire interest, or all c	of
☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	by an attorney or agent (acting in	a representative capacity under 37 CFR	
☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Into of the decision has expired and there are no allowed		d because the period for seeking court revi	iew
☐ The reason(s) below:			
Please see attached petition decision		1 Ball	
		John Barlow Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800	

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment
Part of Paper No. 20070730



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## 12 JUL 2007

Weingarten, Schurgin, Gagnebin & Lebovici LLP Ten Post Office Square Boston, MA 02109

In re Application of ANDREEV et al.

Application No.: 10/510,992 PCT No.: PCT/US03/11193

Int. Filing Date: 11 April 2003

Priority Date: 12 April 2002 Attorney Docket No.: NU-597XX

For: MATCHED FILTRATION WITH

**EXPERIMENTAL NOISE** 

DETERMINATION FOR DENOISING, PEAK PICKING AND QUANTITATION

IN LC-MS

**DECISION ON REQUEST** 

&

NOTIFICATION OF ABANDONMENT

This decision is issued in response to applicants' "Petition to Correct Filing Date" filed 12 April 2007. Additionally, this application is before the Office Of PCT Legal Administration for issues arising under 35 U.S.C. 371. No petition fee is required.

## **BACKGROUND**

On 11 April 2003, applicants filed international application PCT/US03/11193. The application claimed a priority date of 12 April 2002 and designated the United States. Subsequent to the filing of the international application, pursuant to PCT Rule 26ter, applicants filed therein a declaration of inventorship under PCT Rule 4.17(iv). This declaration was executed by each of the three inventors; however, the declaration did not specifically identify the international application to which it was directed, that is, PCT/US03/11193.

On 23 October 2003, a copy of the international application was communicated to the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") by the International Bureau ("IB"). The deadline for submission of the basic national fee was thirty months from the priority date, i.e., 12 October 2004.

Application No.: 10/510,992

On 12 October 2004, applicants filed a transmittal letter for entry into the national stage in the United States accompanied by, among other materials, payment of the basic national fee; a copy of the international application; and a copy of the declaration of inventorship under PCT Rule 4.17(iv) previously submitted.<sup>1</sup>

On 24 June 2005, the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) mailed a "Notification Of Missing Requirements" (Form PCT/DO/EO/905) indicating that the declaration filed by applicants was defective for failure to properly identify the application to which it was directed. The Notification required submission of an oath or declaration acceptable under 37 CFR 1.497, as well as the surcharge for filing the oath or declaration later than thirty months after the priority date.

On 11 July 2005, applicants filed a response to the Notification Of Missing Requirements. The submission included was not, however, accompanied by a new oath or declaration. Instead, applicant submitted a copy of the previously filed declaration, which had been amended to include to PCT application number.

On 04 August 2005, the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) mailed a "NOTIFICATION OF ACCEPTANCE OF APPLICATION UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371 AND 37 CFR 1.494 OR 1.495" (Form PCT/DO/EO/903) which set forth a 35 U.S.C. 371 completion date of 11 July 2005.

On 12 April 2007, applicants filed a "Petition to Correct Filing Date."

## **DISCUSSION**

Applicants request a corrected filing date (or 371(c) date) from 11 July 2005 to 12 October 2004. The actual filing date of the present application is the international filing date, i.e., 11 April 2003. (See 35 U.S.C. 363) The date in the filing date portion on the filing receipt of a national stage application is the date upon which the requirements set forth in 35 U.S.C. 371(c) for entry into the national stage were completed. (See MPEP §1895.01)

A review of the application file and other Patent and Trademark Office records reveals that the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 371(c) for entry into the national stage in the United States of America have not been completed as indicated in the Notification of Acceptance mailed by the DO/EO on 04 August 2005. Specifically, the declarations filed on 12 October 2004 is defective pursuant to 37 CFR 1.497(a)(2) and 37 CFR 1.63(b)(1), in that, the declaration does not identify the specification to which it is directed.

The instructions to the Rule 4.17(iv) declaration state: "[w]here the declaration was not included in the request, but is furnished later, the PCT application number MUST be indicated within the text of Box No. VIII (iv)." Consistent with this instruction, the text of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.10(a), all documents were given a submission date of 12 October 2004.

Application No.: 10/510,992

the Rule 4.17(iv) declaration includes a line that expressly requires entry of the PCT international application number "if furnishing the declaration pursuant to Rule 26ter."

Here, the executed Rule 4.17(iv) declaration was not filed with the original international application materials. Accordingly, the declaration was required to include the PCT application number for the application to which it was directed, that is, PCT/US03/11193. Because the declaration does not include this PCT application number, the declaration is defective for failure to properly identify the specification to which it was directed. Applicants argue that the failure to identify the application "constitutes a harmless error when the transmittal letter and declaration is sent to the PTO in the same Express Mail envelope." Applicants assertion is incorrect, in that, the declaration fails to meet "the minimum requirements" as set forth in the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP). See MPEP §602(VI) Page 600-35.

The 11 July 2005 communication (Letter) was not a proper reply to the Notification of Missing Requirements under 35 U.S.C. 371 (Form PCT/DO/EO/905) mailed 24 June 2005. The declaration filed 11 July 2005 is not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.497(a)-(b), in that, alterations were made to the declaration after it was executed. Specifically, the declaration submitted with the response included information (PCT international application number) that was not contained on the declaration filed 12 October 2004 and these alterations have been made after the declaration had been executed by the joint inventors. (See 37 CFR 1.52(c) and Manual of Patent Examining Procedure § 605.04(a).)

Based on the above, applicants have failed to file an oath/declaration required in the Notification Of Missing Requirements mailed 24 June 2005. Because the failure to file the proper response does not appear to have been the result of an inadvertent omission (37 CFR 1.135(a)), applicants will not be accorded a new time period to correct the deficiencies in the response. Accordingly, the application is hereby held to be <u>abandoned</u>.

## **CONCLUSION**

The present application is **ABANDONED** for failure to file a timely and complete response to the Notification Of Missing Requirements mailed 24 June 2005.

Applicants may wish to consider filing a petition to the Commissioner under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b) requesting that the application be revived. This recommendation to file a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b) should <u>not</u> be construed as an indication as to whether or not any such petition(s) will be favorably considered.

Any further correspondence with respect to this matter deposited with the United States Postal Service should be addressed to the Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents, Office of PCT Legal Administration, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, with the contents of the letter marked to the attention of the Office of PCT Legal Administration.

Application No.: 10/510,992

The application is being referred to the National Stage Processing Branch of the Office of PCT Operations for further processing in accordance with this Communication, including the mailing of a Notification Of Abandonment (Form PCT/DO/EO/909).

Anthony Smith
Attorney Advisor

Office of PCT Legal Administration

Tel: (571) 272-3298 Fax: (571) 273-0459